

## Attachment 2

**To:** Kate Hansel @ 19166549780;297 @ fax  
**cc:** Katrina Schneider, Terry Young, Spreck Rosekrans, David Yardas, Karen Levy, Tom Graff  
**From:** Rod Fujita @ EDF  
**Date:** 04-18-97 22:00:22 PDT  
**Subject:** ERPP review panel comments

## M E M O R A N D U M

Rodney M. Fujita, Ph.D  
Environmental Defense Fund, 5655 College Avenue, Oakland CA 94618  
Tel.: (510)658-8008 Fax: (510)658-0630 Email: rod@edf.org

**To:** Kate Hansel, CALFED  
**From:** Rod Fujita, EDFCA  
**Date:** April 18, 1997  
**Re:** ERPP Review Panel

## QUESTIONS FOR THE REVIEW PANEL

Per your request, here are some potential questions that the ERPP review panel might ask:

Is there a clear statement of objectives? Are they the right objectives?

What are the most important indicators of ecosystem health?

Are the restoration goals, objectives, targets, and actions ambitious enough?

Is the ERPP likely to achieve CALFED's goals and objectives?

Are the proposed protection/restoration actions integrated across the landscape (e.g., are actions mutually reinforcing, or at odds with one another?)

Will the ERPP create bottlenecks (e.g., massive restoration of rearing habitat with insufficient restoration of spawning habitat)?

Does the ERPP optimize environmental benefits and flood management benefits?

How should the ERPP be integrated with water conveyance options (e.g., is there a need for an integrated hydrologic/flood management/ecologic model to optimize water quality/flood management/environmental benefits simultaneously)? Is it possible or desirable to develop a generic ERPP that would fit any water conveyance option, or would it be better to develop an integrated water management/ecological restoration plan?

Of the major underlying assumptions, which are known, uncertain, and unknown?

What are the major hypotheses that remain to be addressed?

Of the proposed restoration actions, which are relatively certain to have a positive effect and which are less certain? How can remaining uncertainty be reduced (e.g., what management experiments should

be conducted)?

What are the highest priority near-term actions consistent with the ERPP long term plan?

Are all priority problems addressed by the ERPP?

#### POSSIBLE REVIEW PANEL MEMBERS

Charles Simenstad, University of Washington Fisheries Research Institute (landscape ecology, marsh ecology, estuarine ecology, large scale ecosystem restoration)

Doug Shields, National Sediment Laboratory (sediment dynamics, geomorphology)

Lou Toth, South Florida Water Management District (Kissimmee River restoration)

Reed Noss (biodiversity, conservation biology)